



TITLE:

Non-expressibility of a class of finite graphs (Model theoretic aspects of the notion of independence and dimension)

AUTHOR(S):

Tsuboi, Akito

CITATION:

Tsuboi, Akito. Non-expressibility of a class of finite graphs (Model theoretic aspects of the notion of independence and dimension). 数理解析研究所講究録 2019, 2119: 9-12

ISSUE DATE:

2019-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/252135>

RIGHT:

Non-expressibility of a class of finite graphs

Akito Tsuboi
Institute of Mathematics,
University of Tsukuba

1 Introduction

A graph is an R -structure in which R is irreflexive and symmetric. In this article, we will use a compactness argument to examine the expressibility of a class of finite graphs. First let us explain a simple example as follows: Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all finite circles, i.e., all graphs H of the form:

- $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$;
- $R^H = \{h_1h_2, h_2h_3, \dots, h_{n-1}h_n, h_nh_1\}$, where hk denotes $(h, k), (k, h)$.

Then \mathcal{C} is not an elementary class, because finiteness cannot be represented by a sentence or even by a set of sentences. Furthermore, there is no R -sentence φ such that, for any finite graphs G ,

$$G \models \varphi \iff G \in \mathcal{C}.$$

For showing this, we can use a compactness argument: Suppose that there were such a sentence φ . Let T be the theory consisting of the following sentences:

1. Graph axioms;
2. Every node has exactly two neighbors;
3. There is no (finite) cycles.

Since every finite part of T is satisfied by a graph in \mathcal{C} , by compactness, there is a countable infinite graph $G_0 \models T \cup \{\varphi\}$. By extending G_0 , if necessary, we can assume G_0 is a disjoint union of countably many \mathbb{Z} -chains. Notice that every graph consisting of two circles does not belong to \mathcal{C} . So, again by compactness, there is a countable infinite graph $G_1 \models T \cup \{\neg\varphi\}$. G_1 is also assumed to be a disjoint union of countably many \mathbb{Z} -chains. So, we must conclude $G_0 \cong G_1$, a contradiction.

In this paper, concerning finite graphs, we consider a different type of (non-)expressibility.

2 Preliminaries

Let $L = \{R(*,*)\}$ and $L^* = L \cup \{X_i(*) : i < n\}$, where R is a binary predicate symbol, and X_i 's are unary predicate symbols. Let T be a finite set of L -sentences and $\varphi(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})$ an L^* -sentence.

Definition 1. $PC_{fin}(\varphi, T)$ is the class of L -reducts of finite models of $T \cup \{\varphi\}$. If T is the axiom for graphs, we simply write $PC_{fin}(\varphi)$ for $PC_{fin}(\varphi, T)$. PC stands for ‘pseudo elementary class.’

Example 2. 1. Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all non-connected finite graphs. Then, there is a sentence φ such that $PC_{fin}(\varphi) = \mathcal{C}$. Let φ be the sentence asserting that (i) both X_0 and $\neg X_0$ are non-empty, and (ii) there is no edge between X_0 and $\neg X_0$. Then clearly φ satisfies the required condition.

2. Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all finite graphs with a cycle. Then, there is a sentence φ such that $PC_{fin}(\varphi) = \mathcal{C}$.

Now another important point will be explained below. In the structure \mathbb{N} , by a coding method, finite sets are represented. In other words, \mathbb{N} can be considered as a model of finite set theory. So, we assume $\mathbb{N} = (\mathbb{N}, 0, 1, +, \cdot, <, \in)$, where \in is the membership relation. Finite graphs are objects in \mathbb{N} . Let G be a finite graph with the code a_G , i.e. $G = \{g \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{N} \models g \in a_G\}$. The connectedness of G can be expressed by a sentence in \mathbb{N} as follows: G is connected \iff there is a coded function $f : [0, n] \rightarrow G$ such that (i) $\text{ran}(f) = G$, and (ii) $R(f(i), f(i+1))$ ($\forall i < n$).

Let $\mathbb{N}^* \succ \mathbb{N}$ be a recursively saturated countable model. In \mathbb{N}^* , a coded set $\{x \in \mathbb{N}^* : x \in a\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$, is not necessarily finite. Let $\text{con}(x)$ be

the formula expressing (in \mathbb{N}) that the graph coded by x is connected, and let $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be an element with $\mathbb{N}^* \models \text{con}(a)$. The graph coded by a is not connected in general, although it is connected in the sense of \mathbb{N}^* .

3 Non-expressibility

As an application of compactness argument to finite graphs, we show the following proposition, which is due to Fagin [1].

Proposition 3. *Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all finite connected graphs. Then there is no L^* -sentence $\varphi = \varphi(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})$ with $\mathcal{C} = PC_{fin}(\varphi)$.*

Sketch of Proof. A more detailed proof of a more general result be given in our forthcoming paper. Suppose that there were a sentence $\varphi(X_0, \dots, X_{n-1})$ with $\mathcal{C} = PC_{fin}(\varphi)$. Let C_n be the circle graph with the universe $[0, n-1]$ and the edges $R(i, i+1)$ ($i < n-1$) and $R(n-1, 0)$. Now we work in \mathbb{N}^* . Let n^* be a nonstandard number, and let $G = C_{n^*}$. Since $C_n \models \varphi$ for all n , we have some coded sets D_0, \dots, D_{n-1} such that

$$G \models \varphi(D_0, \dots, D_{n-1}). \quad (1)$$

By the recursive saturation, there are two points $a < b \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\text{tp}_{\mathbb{N}^*}(a/n^*, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1}) = \text{tp}_{\mathbb{N}^*}(b/n^*, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1})$, where d_i is the code of D_i . We define a new graph G' by:

1. The universe of G' is the same as G , hence $|G'| = |G| = [0, n^* - 1]$;
2. $R^{G'} = R^G \setminus \{a(a+1), b(b+1)\} \cup \{a(b+1), b(a+1)\}$

Each of G and G' is a disjoint union of \mathbb{Z} -chains with coloring by X_i 's. By our construction, G and G' are definable (and hence both are coded in \mathbb{N}^*). Moreover, they are isomorphic as $\{R, X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$ -structures, since they have the same \mathbb{Z} -chains (counting multiplicity) with coloring. Hence we have:

Claim A. $G \cong_{\{R, X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}\}} G'$. *This isomorphism, say σ , is not definable in \mathbb{N}^* . But, each D_i is σ -invariant.*

On the other hand, G' is not connected in the sense of \mathbb{N}^* (in fact, it is a disjoint union of two circles), hence we have:

Claim B. $G' \models \neg\varphi(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1})$, for all coded sets B_i 's.

The two claims above together with (1) yield a contradiction. □

References

- [1] Fagin, R. Monadic generalized spectra. *Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik*, 21 (1975), 123 – 134.
- [2] Libkin, L. *Elements of finite model theory*. Berlin: Springer, (2015).
- [3] Kaye, R. W. *Models of peano arithmetic*; Clarendon Press: Oxford, 2011.
- [4] Our forthcoming paper.